----

01 Indigenous and Adivasi Political Theory in India: Autonomy, Community Governance and Alternative Democratic Models

Prof. Dr. Bhagwat Shankar Mahale
Associate Professor, Head of Department of Political Science
Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj's Arts, Commerce and Science College, Trimbakeshwar, Dist. Nashik

----

Abstract:
The study of the political theories of indigenous and Adivasi communities is a crucial context within the tradition of global and Indian political thought. The political life of tribal communities is rooted in their integral relationship with nature, collective resource management, customary justice systems, egalitarian leadership structures, and the right to cultural self-determination. However, due to colonial rule, displacement in the name of development, mining, commercialization of forests, administrative interference, violation of land rights, and attacks on cultural identity, Adivasi political theories are constantly undergoing a process of struggle and reaffirmation. Globally, Indigenous Political Theory is related to issues such as democracy, citizenship, power structures, participation, development, resistance, and the right to self-determination. International frameworks such as the United Nations' UNDRIP (2007), ILO Convention 169, and the Convention on Biological Diversity re-evaluate the historical injustices faced by tribal communities and legitimize their political rights. In India, the Fifth and Sixth Schedules of the Constitution, PESA (1996), FRA (2006), community rights over forests, tribal self-governance, empowerment of Gram Sabhas, participation in planning, and Supreme Court judgments – all these aspects shape the modern form of Adivasi political theory. This research paper provides an in-depth analytical review of the concept of tribal political philosophy, its historical socio-political context, the policies and laws of the Indian state, administrative structures, conflict movements, the struggle for environmental and land rights, identity politics, and issues of autonomy. The conflict between the modern development model and the tribal worldview, as well as the contribution of tribal political theory to alternative ideas of democracy, is the central focus of this paper.
Keywords: Indigenous people, Tribal, Autonomy, Political theory, PESA, FRA, UNDRIP, Identity politics, Self-determination)

Introduction:
The history of tribal and indigenous communities in India is rich in diversity, harmonious with the environment, and based on a community-oriented worldview. The relationship with the land, equitable access to resources, traditional knowledge systems, collective decision-making processes, gender equality, conservation of natural resources, and their own system of social justice—all these factors make tribal political theory distinct from mainstream statist, centralized, or patriarchal political theories.

Three levels are crucial when studying the political structure of tribal societies:
1. Colonial rule and the structural changes it brought about,
2. The impact of post-independence development policies in India,
3. The new economic and cultural challenges created by globalization.

During the colonial period, the imposition of the concept that 'forests belong to the government' destroyed the traditional ownership of land, forests, and water resources of tribal communities. This historical injustice remains the root cause of many conflicts today. Post-independence industrialization, dams, mining, afforestation, and environmental projects have further intensified the process of tribal displacement.

In contemporary times, tribal politics is centered on five main issues: identity, autonomy, community rights, environmental justice, and participation in democracy. The Fifth and Sixth Schedules of the Constitution, PESA (1996), the Forest Rights Act (2006), the Biodiversity Act, and the powers granted to the Gram Sabha—all aim to strengthen tribal self-governance.

The purpose of this introduction is to explain the usefulness of combining the traditional, colonial, and modern streams of tribal political theory for understanding social justice and alternative democracy.

Research Methodology:
This research employs a combination of qualitative, descriptive, and analytical methods for the study of indigenous and tribal political theory. The nature of the comparative and conceptual study based on various scholarly sources is as follows:1) Documentary and Archival Analysis,2) Theoretical Literature Review,3) Comparative Method,4) Legal-Constitutional Analysis,5) Qualitative Interpretation.

Objectives of the Study:
The primary objective of this research is to clarify the philosophical, historical, and modern framework of tribal political theory. Accordingly, the following objectives have been set:
1) To clarify the concept and theory of indigenous and tribal political theory.

----

2) To study the legal-political frameworks of tribal rights in India and at the global level.

3) To analyze the impact of development policies on tribal communities.

4) To conduct a philosophical evaluation of identity politics, autonomy, and community rights.

5) To formulate useful recommendations for alternative models of tribal self-governance and democracy.

Research Hypotheses:

1) The political structure of tribal societies is different from traditional Indian and Western political theories, being environmentally friendly and community-oriented.

2) Despite the existence of a robust legal framework for tribal rights in India (PESA, FRA, Scheduled Areas), the lack of effective implementation limits political autonomy.

3) The global framework related to the protection of tribal rights, self-determination, identity, and autonomy (UNDRIP, ILO 169) can serve as a guiding principle for Indian tribal policy.

Adivasi Political Theory:

5.1 The Concept of Indigenous and Adivasi Political Theory:

When studying the political theories of indigenous and Adivasi communities, the first thing that becomes apparent is that their approach to politics is completely different from the mainstream nationalist, capitalist, or Western contractual models. Adivasi societies are based on the idea of "community-centric governance" rather than "state-centric politics." In their view, politics is not power-centric or hierarchical, but has developed within an integrated framework of lifestyle, relationship with nature, collective decision-making, justice, traditions, and environmental balance.

A) The Community-Based Nature of Politics:In Adivasi societies, power does not reside with a single individual; rather, it is collectively distributed among elders, experienced individuals, or groups with customary recognition within the community. In this process, discussion, consensus-building, social responsibility, and collective decision-making are the main components. Therefore, their political theories are based on consensus democracy, moral leadership, and participatory decision-making.

B) An Integrated Worldview with the Environment:The political principles of indigenous communities are closely linked to the protection of the environment. In their view, forests, rivers, mountains, and land are not merely resources but living entities, deities, or symbols of ancestors. Therefore, the concept of "Ecological Justice" is central to their struggle for rights.

C) Identity, Linguistic and Cultural Rights:The biggest foundation of tribal political theory is identity. Language, dance, festivals, customs, ethnic history, and traditional knowledge are the symbols of their political existence. Therefore, their politics is based on the concept of Cultural Sovereignty.

D) Self-Determination:Self-determination is a fundamental pillar in Indigenous political theory worldwide. The main expectation of this theory is that the community should have the right to its own governance system, development path, resource utilization, and preservation and revitalization of its culture.

5.2 Historical Trends: Colonialism, Resistance, and Autonomy: (Colonialism, Resistance, and Autonomy in Adivasi Political History) The history of tribal communities in India is a complex narrative of struggle, restoration, displacement, and resistance against power. Before colonial rule, tribal societies were independent, traditionally self-governing, and managed resources communally. However, with the arrival of British rule, their entire socio-political structure changed.

a) Colonial Intervention and Land-Alienation Policies:The British denied the tribals' ownership of forests, land rights, and water resources, establishing a legal claim that forests were government property. The Forest Acts of 1865, 1878, and 1927 were the biggest blow to the economic and cultural life of the tribals. These laws resulted in: disruption of the hunter-gatherer lifestyle, destruction of local autonomy, implementation of the colonial revenue system, and an increase in forced labor and bonded labor. This led to the development of "anti-colonial resistance" as a fundamental element in tribal political theory.

B) Tribal Uprisings and Resistance Movements:

In response to colonial oppression, large-scale tribal rebellions occurred in India:

1) Santhal Rebellion (1855–56)-Demand for the return of Dalit-tribal land and autonomy.

2) Bhil Rebellions (1818–1831)-Struggle against the British revenue system and forest rights.

3) Munda Ulgulan (1899–1900)-A socio-religious and political movement led by Birsa Munda.

5.3 Global Indigenous Political Theory: Concepts, Agreements and Rights:

(Global Framework: UNDRIP, ILO 169, Rights & Political Theory)

The framework for political rights for indigenous communities worldwide has strengthened significantly over the past three decades. Especially after the United Nations accepted Indigenous Peoples' Rights as a separate human rights issue, the political, cultural, and geographical rights of tribals have gained a clear place at the international level. Indigenous Political Theory is an alternative concept that challenges the principles of nation, sovereignty,

citizenship, development, democracy, power-sharing, justice, etc., in Western political philosophy. Its core is— environmental justice + cultural autonomy + community self-governance + right to self-determination.

5.4 Indigenous Politics in India: Constitution, Laws and Self-Governance: (Constitutional Provisions, PESA, FRA):

India is the country with the largest indigenous population in the world. During the constitution-making process itself, the tribal community was recognized as a "group requiring special protection." Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar, L.M. Shah, Jairamdas Daulatram, and other members insisted on the autonomy of tribal areas.

A) Fifth and Sixth Schedules: This schedule structure is the "constitutional foundation" of tribal politics. 1) Fifth Schedule (Scheduled Areas): Special powers of the Governor, Tribal Advisory Council, control over revenue, land, and forest management, limited land ownership for outsiders, Gram Sabha approval is essential, 2) Sixth Schedule (Autonomous Councils):

Establishment of Autonomous District Councils for tribals in Northeast India: Power to make laws, control over land, forests, traditions, judiciary, and administration, power to levy taxes, this system is the best example of "decentralized self-governance".

B) PESA Act (1996): The Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act is a revolutionary law for tribal self-governance.

Main principles: Gram Sabha is supreme, control over land, minerals, solid waste, loans, and new projects, rights over minor and major forest produce (MFP), land cannot be alienated without consent. (c) FRA – Forest Rights Act (2006): This law was enacted to rectify 150 years of historical injustice.

FRA rights: Individual Forest Rights (IFR), Community Forest Rights (CFR), CFR Management Rights, Traditional habitat rights

Many tribal villages in the country have reclaimed forests based on the FRA and developed a "community forest management" model. This is considered the best example of environmental democracy.

(d) Judicial Interventions in India: Samatha Judgment (1997): Selling tribal land to mining industries is illegal., Orissa Mining Corporation Case (2013): Narmada, Niyamgiri Hills — Gram Sabha is the final decision-making body., 2019 dispute related to FRA: Order not to evict forest dwellers. These decisions strengthened the "political sovereignty" of the tribals.

5.5 Development Model vs. Indigenous Worldview:

Tribal communities have become the biggest "victims" of the modern development model. Large dams, mining, industrialization, deforestation, railway-highway projects are considered "symbols of national development," but the tribal communities pay the price.

A) Displacement: Tata-Koraput project, Narmada Valley, Bastar region, mining belts in Jharkhand-Odisha — millions of tribals have been displaced here.Consequences: Loss of land, cultural disruption, economic insecurity, health and nutrition crisis, migration and unstable employment. According to the UNDP, the highest displacement in India has been among the tribal communities.

B) Nature-Based Worldview vs. Market-Oriented Development: The tribal lifestyle is based on balance, minimal consumption, collective management, and conservation of natural resources.

However, modern development is: carbon-based, resource-exploitative, market-centric, and focused on increasing speed, profit, and consumption.

Consequently, the "cultural alienation" created by development is the biggest political question of today.

C) Philosophical Elements of the Tribal Worldview: Earth as Mother — land is sacred, Shared Governance — not power, but responsibility, Intergenerational Ethics — responsibility towards future generations, Harmony with Nature — sustainability is culture, Reciprocity — society and nature are interdependent. These values teach modern politics concepts such as environmental democracy, ecological justice, and decentralization.

5.6 Tribal Movements, Struggles and Environmental Justice: (Adivasi Movements, Resistance Politics & Environmental Justice) The history of tribal movements in India is a history of struggle for autonomy, identity, land, forests, and rights over resources. These movements have not only brought about social transformation but have also given Indian democracy new concepts such as "environmental justice" and "rights-based development".

A) Tribal Uprisings during the Colonial Period:During the British rule, many rebellions arose as a resistance against the oppression of land revenue, forest laws, forced labor, opium cultivation, and trading companies. Major movements: The Bhil uprising (1818–1831), the Kol rebellion (1831–32), the Singhbhum Munda movement, the Birsa Munda Ulgulan (1899–1900), and the Sidhu-Kanhu Santhal rebellion (1855–56) were centered around the principle that land and forests are communal property; they would not accept the control of the state or capital. This is considered the first "Indigenous Political Resistance".

B) Post-Independence Tribal Struggles:Even after independence, the pressure of development projects increased on tribal areas.

Major issues: Dams and hydroelectric projects, mining (bauxite, iron ore, coal), expansion of industrial areas, national parks and wildlife sanctuaries, deforestation.

C) Environmental Justice Perspective:Tribal communities are considered the primary protectors of the environment. However, in the development model, they are the ones who bear the brunt of displacement.

5.7 Identity Politics and Tribal Self-Determination:

Identity politics refers to political rights that arise from the specific historical, cultural, and experiential identity of a community. The identity of the tribal community is distinct from other social communities because it is based on: relationship with the land, language, traditions, self-reliant economy, forest knowledge, and spirituality.

A) Characteristics of Tribal Identity:

1) Geo-Cultural Identity:Land, forests, water sources, and mountains are not merely natural resources, but are part of their cultural identity. Therefore, losing land means losing identity.
2) Collective Ownership:Priority is given to collective ownership over individual ownership.
3) This stands in opposition to capitalism and privatization.
4) Customary Governance:Leadership systems such as Gram Sabha, Naik-Majhi, Manjhi-Parwan, and Devasthan committees are local forms of democracy.
5) Linguistic Identity:Languages such as Mundari, Ho, Santhali, Bhili, Gondi, Kokna, and Warli are central to tribal politics.

5.8 Alternative Models of Democracy: Gram Sabha and Community-Based Governance: (Alternative Democratic Models: Community Governance): India's mainstream representative democracy is based on the Parliament-Legislative Assembly-Panchayat structure. However, this structure is not always effective in tribal areas, as "community-centric governance" proves to be more effective here.

a. Gram Sabha as a Sovereign Institution (PESA Model): Powers of the Gram Sabha: Preventing land transfer, decision-making on mining and industrial projects, forest and forest produce management, control over liquor shop licenses, traditional justice system, local development planning.
b. The Gram Sabha is the "primary unit of power distribution" in tribal political theory.
c. Community Forest Management (CFR Governance Models):In Maharashtra, CFR villages in Melghat, Gadchiroli (Medha-Lekha), Nashik-Dhule-Nandurbar have demonstrated that the Gram Sabha can perform tasks such as forest conservation, sale of forest produce, environmental balance, and local employmentmore effectively than the state.

Features of the Alternative Democratic Model:

1. Participatory Democracy-Every individual's opinion matters.
2. Eco-centric Decision Making-Decisions are made for the well-being of natural resources.
3. Consensus Model-Collective decision-making without dissent.
4. Power-Shared Leadership-Not a single leader, but committee-based leadership.
5. Restorative Justice-Not revenge, but reconstruction and reconciliation.

This model gives a new direction to modern Indian democracy.

Recommendations:(Policy suggestions based on the study of Indigenous and Adivasi Political Theory)

1) Strengthening clear laws on Adivasi autonomy- The implementation of laws like PESA and FRA needs to be strictly enforced in all states. Reducing administrative control is necessary to give Gram Sabhas real decision-making power.
2) Independent national policy framework protecting land-forest-water rights- Creating a "National Indigenous Resource Rights Policy" that prioritizes the community ownership, traditional use, and environmental protection of Adivasi people.
3) Preservation and inclusion of Adivasi languages in the curriculum-Protecting linguistic identity by giving languages like Mundari, Ho, Gondi, Warli, Konkani, etc., a place in the school curriculum.
4) Mandatory "Free, Prior, and Informed Consent" (FPIC) for development projects No project in Adivasi areas should be approved without the consent of the Gram Sabha. This is consistent with UNDRIP standards.
5) Formal documentation of Adivasi knowledge systems- Academic research and preservation of forest knowledge, traditional medicine, water management, and biodiversity understanding are important.
6) Expansion of community-based resource management models- Adopting CFR models like Medha-Lekha (Gadchiroli) as a replicable framework across the country.
7) Adivasi women and youth leadership development programs-Organizing reservations, training, and youth leadership camps to increase women's participation in decision-making processes.
8) Subject-Cultural Relevance in Education in Tribal Areas-Including local examples, folktales, history, and knowledge of natural resources in the curriculum.

9) Establishing a Dialogue Mechanism to Reduce State-Society Conflict-A tripartite dialogue mechanism is necessary to build trust between security forces, administration, and the community in tribal areas.

10) National Research Institute Focused on Indigenous Political Theory- Establishing a "National Institute for Indigenous Governance Studies" to study tribal governance, environmental politics, land rights, and cultural justice.

Conclusion:

1. In the Indian context, indigenous and tribal political theory is not merely an academic subject but is linked to the struggle for environmental justice, identity, autonomy, and human dignity.

2. From colonial-era rebellions to modern environmental movements, tribal communities have developed practical forms of their political theories.

3. Land, forests, and water are central values of tribal political philosophy, and their protection means protecting their existence.

4. Global frameworks like UNDRIP, ILO-169, and SDG-16 provide a moral and legal basis for Indian tribal rights.

5. PESA and FRA are the greatest examples of decentralization and community-based governance in Indian democracy; however, many states lag behind in implementation.

6. Since tribal identity is based on geo-cultural factors, language, traditional knowledge, and community-based economy, their conflict with mainstream development models persists.

7. Modern mining, industrial projects, expansion of wildlife sanctuaries, and hydroelectric projects have the greatest impact on tribal areas; therefore, their displacement amounts to "cultural extinction."

8. The tribal autonomy model offers an alternative vision to Indian democracy—participatory governance, consensus-based decision-making, and environment-centric development.

9. Community-based forest management, local justice systems, and village assembly sovereignty are modern practical forms of tribal political theory.

10. Overall, the future of Indian democracy and environmental sustainability largely depends on respecting tribal politics, their rights, their identity, and their environmental knowledge.

References:-

1. Pathare, N. (2015). Environmental Education. Chaitanya Prakashan. Pune. pp. 1–208.
2. Devare, R. (2008). Introduction to Environmental Science. Diamond Publications. Pune. ISBN: 9788172741234.
3. Pawar, N. (2019). Women and Society. Nirmal Prakashan. Mumbai. pp. 1–210.
4. Kulkarni, U. (2017). Gender Discrimination and Social System. Continental Prakashan. Pune. pp. 1–190.
5. Shinde, M. (2016). Women's Rights in India. Prabhat Prakashan. Pune. pp. 1–175.
6. Sawant, R. (2018). Women and the Justice System. Padmashri Prakashan. Kolhapur. pp. 1–200.
7. Joshi, S. (2020). Gender Studies in Sociology. Saket Prakashan. Nashik. ISBN: 9789383456732.
8. Jadhav, M. (2022). Women's Empowerment: Study and Directions. Swaroop Prakashan. Pune. pp. 1–220.
9. Karve, I. (2010). Gender Roles and Culture. Popular Prakashan. Mumbai. ISBN: 9788179912347.
10. Mahajan, S. (2021). Causes of Gender Inequality. Nirmal Prakashan. Mumbai. pp. 1–180.
11. Kadam, C. (2018). Tribal Society and Culture. Janbhumi Prakashan. Kolhapur.
12. Birari, S. (2017). Indigenous Issues and Indian Politics. Samarth Prakashan. Pune.
13. Mohite, G. (2020). History of Tribal Rights Movements. Saket Prakashan. Nashik.
14. Rane, Vs. (2019). Tribal identity and environmental justice. Padmagandha Publications. Pune.
15. Deshmukh, M. (2021). Models of Tribal Autonomy in India. Chandrakant Publications. Nagpur.
16. Sharma, R. A. (2016). Environmental Punishment. R. Red Publication. Meerut. pp. 1–250.
17. Kaushik, B. D. (2015). Women's Studies Arihant Publications. Delhi. pp. 1–210.
18. Shukla, M. (2014). Gender Discrimination and Society. Prabhat Prakashan. New Delhi. ISBN: 9788125014323.
19. Mishra, Rt. (2018). Human Rights and Women's Rights. Rajpal & Sons. New Delhi. pp. 1–225.
20. Verma, Sh. (2021). Gender Studies. Sapphire Publishing. Agra.
21. Thakur, Amar. (2017). Tribal Question and Indian Politics. Gyan Bharti. Delhi.
22. Singh, Mahe. (2019). Tribal Movement of India. Publication: Anshu Publishers. Jaipur.
23. Joshi, Rt. (2018). Tribal Society: Culture and Transformation. Publication: Rajpal & Sons. Delhi.
24. Pandey, K. (2020). Tribal Rights and Governance. National Publishing House. Delhi.
25. Tripathi, no. (2021). Environmental Justice and Tribal Communities. Kailash Publications. Allahabad.
26. United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). United Nations General Assembly.